Circulating Tumor Cells: Challenges in Detection and Isolation
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Introduction: Circulating Tumor Cells (CTCs) are cells that have detached from the primary tumor and flow into the blood or lymphatic circulation creating a secondary tumor. Their population is widely used as a predictive marker for cancer progression. Despite their importance, these cells are rare in cell population, thus their detection and isolation is under consideration of the scientific community. The present study aimed to evaluate an alternative fluidic-based method for CTCs identification in breast, colon, prostate, pancreatic and melanoma cancer.

Materials & Methods: Peripheral blood was collected from thirty (30) cancer and thirty (30) non-cancer donors. Samples were stained with different antibodies depending on the cancer type. The samples were run on a BD Accuri C6 cytometer and 50,000 events were recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>TP=26</td>
<td>FP=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>FN=4</td>
<td>TN=25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Raw Data and Analysis

Results: Among the samples that were tested, 31 were positive for CTCs while 29 were not. The sensitivity, which means the true positive data, was 86.6%, and the specificity, which represents the true negative data was 83.3%. Regarding the positive predicted value (PPV) it is 83.9% while the negative predictive value (NPV) is 86.2%.

Conclusion: Up to now, the most platforms exploit the physical properties and/or gene expression profiling of CTCs. Some others target the molecules on CTCs’ surfaces. However, the loss of CTCs is the main drawback of the above methods. The alternative fluidic-based method that presented in this study, tried to overcome most of the limitations. This new methodology is based on detection of CTCs by using many biomarkers for each cancer type.

Moreover, no enrichment step was performed, thus reducing the possibility of cells loss. Furthermore, the results included not only the most common types of cancer but also and other, equally important. The comparison with non-cancer individuals revealed that this platform has higher sensitivity and specificity compared with well-established methods.
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